



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,232	12/14/2000	Scott A. Sirrine	65856-0025	9140
10/291 7590 08/25/2008 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610				
EXAMINER DAY, HERNG DER				
ART UNIT 2128		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 08/25/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/736,232

Applicant(s)

SIRRINE, SCOTT A.

Examiner

HERNG-DER DAY

Art Unit

2128

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Herng-der Day.(3) Kenneth W. Jarrell (Reg. No.:52,484).(2) Kamini S. Shah (SPE).(4) Jason Bumcotte.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: DAA program and US Patent No. 5,848,371.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Applicant's representative explained the difference between the prior art and the instant application. 2. The Examiner explained how the prior art read into the claimed limitations and suggested Applicant submit an amendment to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For example, reciting equations to clarify the recited "driveline inertia" and "oscillatory speed effect".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kamini S Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128